

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

		Address :	COMMISSIO Washington	NER OF PATENTS (AND TRADEMARKS
	78. NICETR FILES DATE FRE 77/418:055 10/06/89 DE EUCA		منین		*FY 000X1 1 100268U
				** ** ** *** *** ***	
	MOTOROLA, INC., PATENT DEPT.				
	30YNTON BEACH, FL 33426-8753		, ,	WEISSMAN, P	ngga was
					~
				264	3
			• •	e serien	
The sign of	render de sinte de frage transfer en para la render de paragonal per el seven i colonia. La filología de la filología de la colonia			06/08/	'9 0
	ı				
(This a	oplication has been examined Responsive to co	mmunication file	d on	This a	ction is made final.
charten	d statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	Al 100 /2		d	
ilure to	respond within the period for response will cause the applica	ition to become a	☑ month(s), sbandoned.	35 U.S.C. 133	the date of this lette
				30 3.3.3.	
irt I سد	THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(8) ARE PART OF THIS A	_	_		
1. [A]	Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.	2 🔀 N	otice re Patent	Drawing, PTO-948.	
š. 🗆	Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.		otice of informa	al Patent Application,	Form PTO-152.
rt D	SUMMARY OF ACTION				
	126				
1. 🔀	Claims 1-C3			are per	ding in the applicat
	Of the above, claims	·		are withdraw	vn from consideration
2. 🗆	Ctaims			have	been cancelled.
s. 🗆	Claims	<u>-</u>		are al	lowed.
a Mi	Ctaims 1-25				144
				are re	jected.
8. LJ	Claims	· · · · ·		are of	jected to.
e 🗆	Ctaims		are subje	ect to restriction or ele	ction requirement.
7.	This application has been filed with informal drawings unde	r 37 C.F.R. 1.85	which are accep	otable for examination	purposes.
_	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office acti			•	
_					
9. 🗆	The corrected or substitute drawings have been received or are acceptable. In not acceptable (see explanation or		d Drawina DTO	Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84	these drawings
_					
10.	The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings,	filed on	has	(have) been 🔲 app	roved by the
	examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation)	on).			
11. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction, filed on	, has been	approved.	disapproved (see	explanation).
12. 🗆	Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S	3.C. 119. The cert	tified copy has	D been received D	not been received
	been filed in parent application, serial no.		; filed on		
•• □	Since this application appears to be in condition for all applications				
⊔	Since this application appears to be in condition for allower accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 (ICE except for for C.D. 11; 453 O.G.	mai matters, pr 213.	rosecution as to the m	erits is closed in
_		,			
14. 🗆	Other				

Serial No. 07/418,055

Art Unit 264

5

10

15

20

25

30

ART REJECTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-12, 14, 22^{Λ} are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 2. as being unpatentable over Matai et al.

Claims 1, 22;

Matai et al. shows a paging receiver in which control means stores received messages to either a common paging memory (source file) or an individual memory (source file) as dependent upon the received address (column 3, lines 60-66; column 8, lines 18-37; column 10, lines 41-45; column 11, lines 36-40). The common and individual messages can be selectively displayed by the user.

Serial No. 07/418,055 Art Unit 264

Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate source files message storage in a pager receiver since Matai et al. shows the means for and purpose of storing received messages into categorized memory locations.

Claims 2-4;

5

10

15

The common and individual source files of Matai et al. are capable of storing a plurality of messages. The system necessarily stores messages in unoccupied slot or an occupied slot, dependent upon availability of memory as determined by the number of received messages (column 9, lines 23-45). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the various memory means of the claimed invention in the system of by Matai et al. since the referenced invention shows equivalent and alternative memory means.

Claims 5-6;

20 Matai et al. shows that the received address determines whether the message is stored in a common or individual memory location.

Serial No. 07/418,055 Art Unit 264

Claims 7-10;

5

10

1.5

20

The common and individual source files of Matai et al. are capable of storing a plurality of messages. The system necessarily stores messages in unoccupied slot or an occupied slot, dependent upon availability of memory as determined by the number of received messages. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the various memory means of the claimed invention in the system of by Matai et al. since the referenced invention shows equivalent and alternative memory means.

Claim 11;

Matai et al. shows all of the features claimed, as applied to the rejection of claim 1 above, wherein the address of Matai et al. performs the operation of the claimed information source signal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate source files message storage and control means in a pager receiver since Matai et al. shows the means for and purpose of storing received messages into categorized memory locations.

Serial No. 07/418,055

Art Unit 264

Claims 12, 14, and 23;

The individual and common memory location means of Matai et al. are determined by the capacity of the components involved.

The number of messages stored in each of the memory means of Matai et al. is dependent upon message length. Furthermore, the chosen to be individual and common memory means of Matai et al. can be many suited and common memory means for a greater (or smaller) capacity of memory, as dependent upon the needs of the user since the advantages of a larger memory is widely recognized in the art of pagers.

-5-

3. <u>Claims 13, 15-21, 24, and 25</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Matai et al. as applied to claims 1-12 above, and further in view of Smoot et al.

Claim 13;

Matai et al. shows all of the subject matter claimed, except for the feature of establishing a basis of priority.

Smoot et al. shows a multiaddress pager with a call storage and priority paging option in which a received message is either stored as an emergency call or a non-emergency call.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the priority means of Smoot et al. in the pager of

15

20

10

5

Serial No. 07/418,055

Art Unit 264

Matai et al. since both systems provide means for storing messages in different source files.

Claims 15-17;

5

10

15

20

The examiner would like to take note of the fact that the cooperative relationship between the selecting and the ultimate function to be performed (i.e.—store messages in different memory locations) is not critical to the referenced inventions. Thus, the referenced inventions can utilize any common and suited method of selecting messages, such as claimed, since the means for and purpose of selecting messages based on a priority system is shown.

Claims 18-21, 24, and 25;

The subject matter claimed are features well known to the art of paging receivers. It is noted that these features are not critical to the referenced inventions, which may utilize any suited and common features, such as indicating unread messages. Thus, these features are merely a matter of choice and design since they do not present any unexpected modifications of the referenced inventions.

-7-

Serial No. 07/418,055

Art Unit 264

OTHER PRIOR ART CITED

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

De Graaf shows a paging receiver in which control information is stored in one memory and message information is stored in another memory.

CONTACT INFORMATION

10

5

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Weissman whose telephone number is (703) 557-3304.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 557-3321.

Peter Weissman; psw

703-557-3304

May 31, 1990

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED